# The NPU Task: Evaluating a Surrogate Endpoint for Stress Mechanisms in Research on Smoking **Cessation Interventions**

Research Center Katherine P. Magruder, Jesse T. Kaye, Megan N. Fleming, Austin D. Kayser, Susan E. Schneck, & John J. Curtin

Addiction Research Center, Psychology Department, University of Wisconsin-Madison



### **BACKGROUND & SIGNIFICANCE**

- Surrogate endpoints are robust, early predictors of clinically relevant outcomes. Surrogate endpoints are advantageous for three reasons:
  - 1. Available much earlier than ultimate clinical outcomes<sup>1,2</sup>
  - 2. Establish an intervention's mechanism of action<sup>3,4</sup>
  - 3. More power to test impact of intervention on this mechanism<sup>5,6</sup>
- Stressor reactivity is a target for many interventions in addiction but we do not have wellvalidated surrogate endpoints for stress-induced relapse mechanisms.
- Basic science combines with preliminary clinical research to suggest that overall stressor reactivity and relative unpredictable stressor reactivity as measured by startle potentiation in the NPU task may be attractive surrogate endpoints for this purpose.7,8

#### OBJECTIVES

- The goal of this study was to evaluate a key requirement for two potential surrogate endpoints - their ability to predict clinical outcomes.
- We tested if overall stressor reactivity and relative unpredictable stressor reactivity for recently abstinent daily cigarette smokers (N = 128) predicts probability of lapsing during two-week cessation attempt.

**METHODS** 

In-Person Screening and Consent Consent, eligibility, & self-report questionnaires



## **SUMMARY & FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

- Our pre-registered hypotheses were not supported. Specifically, overall stressor reactivity and selective unpredictable stressor reactivity did not significantly predict probability of lapsing during two-week cessation attempt.
- These results raise some concern about overall and selective unpredictable stressor reactivity as surrogate endpoints in research on smoking cessation interventions. However, these null results must be tempered by important study limitations of low power:
  - Relapse broadly vs. stress-induced relapse
  - Rates of continuous abstinence

## REFERNCES

McKee, S. A. (2009). Developing human laboratory models of smoking lapse behavior for medication screening for medication screening. Addiction Biology, 14(1), 99-107.
Prentice, R. L. (1989). Surrogate endpoints in clinical trials: Definition and operational criteria. Statistics in Medicine, 8, 431-440.

 Scheel, T. R. (2012). Next-generation treatments for mental disorders. Science Translational Medicine, 4(155), 155(91).
Insel, T. R. (2012). Next-generation treatments for mental disorders. Science Translational Medicine, 4(155), 155(91).
Lerman, C., LeSage, M. G., Perkins, K. A., O'Malley, S. S., Siegel, S. J., Benowitz, N. L., & Corrigall, W. A. (2007). Translational research in medication development for nicotine dependence. Nature Reviews Drug Discovery, 6(9), 746-762. 5. Lesko, L. J., & Atkinson, A. J. (2001). Use of biomarkers and surrogate endpoints in drug development and regulatory decision-making: Criteria, validation, and strategies, Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology, 41, 347-366 6. Fleming, T. R., & Powers, J. H. (2012). Biomarkers and surrogate endpoints in clinical trials. Statistics in Medicine, 31(25), 2973-2984.

Walker, D. L., Toutexis, D. J., & Davis, M. (2003). Role of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis versus the armygdala in fear, stress, and anxiety. European Journal of Pharmacology, 463, 199-216.
Davis, M., Walker, D. L., Miles, L., & Grillon, C. (2010). Phasic versus sustained fear in rats and humans: Role of the extended amygdala in fear versus anxiety. Neuropsychopharmacology Reviews, 35, 105-135.





