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Abstract

Numerous theories highlight the role of cognitive deficits in explaining dysregulated behavior when intoxicated. Synthesis of theory and data in the alcohol literature with basic research on cognitive control led to the development of the Response Conflict Resolution (RCR) theory. To test this theory, alcohol’s effect on task performance when an incorrect, dominant response is concurrently activated was examined. ERPs indexed task-related cognitive processing, Error Related Negativity (ERN), and electrophysiological indices of a neural system central to the RCR theory, was a focus.

Methodology

Participants
48 social drinkers (24 male/24 female) assigned to 2 beverage groups
• Alcohol: peak blood alcohol level of 0.080%
• No-Alcohol

Description of Modified Flanker Task
• Stimuli are: HHHHH SSHSS SSSSS HHHSH
• 400 trials (4 blocks of 100) with break after each two blocks
• Participants were instructed to:
  • Press button (left or right) to indicate Target (center) letter
  • Ignore surrounding flanker letters

Independent Variables
• Flanker Compatibility: Flanker letters were either congruent (HHHHH or SSHSS) or incongruent (HHSHH or SSSSH) with target letter. Congruent and incongruent flankers were equally probable.
• Target Frequency: The relative frequency of the two target letters was varied to establish a pre-potent response tendency. One target was frequent (80% of trials). The other was infrequent (20% of trials).
• Block: Participants completed 4 blocks of trials. A break was provided after the second block. It was expected that the pre-potent response tendency would increase over blocks

Dependent Variables
• Response time (RT): Behavioral impairment was examined by recording response time on correct trials.
• Error related negativity (ERN): ERN is a negativity-going component of the ERP waveform that is observed approximately 60 ms post response. ERN was quantified as the minimum deflection in the ERP waveform in a window from 0-125 ms post response. Recent research suggests that the neural generator of ERN is Anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and that this system represents the neural signal for the need for regulative controlled processing.

Response Conflict Resolution Theory

• Alcohol intoxication will produce dysregulated behavior in contexts that elicit response conflict.
  o Experimental stimuli such as stove stimuli, go/no-go signals, approach avoidance conflict
  o Real life stimuli such as cigarette, attractive potential mate at party
• When response conflict exists, alcohol intoxication will impair execution of the initially weaker stimulus-response complex.
  o Strength of association is a result of prior execution of the SR complex (automatic processes)
  o Can result from local training (perseveration)
  o Can result from weakly encoded stimulus (i.e., peripheral stimulus)?
  o Some stimuli may have biologically supported (hard-wired) strong associations
  o Role of emotion/motivation in S-R strength?
• The behavioral dysregulation when intoxicated results from a relatively specific deficit in anterior attentional system components of cognitive control.
  o It does not result from a deficit in earlier stimulus orienting/switching and encoding
  o Some evidence that the cognitive control deficit may be specific to evaluative control/action monitoring

Behavioral Effects

Main Effects
• A main effect of Flanker Compatibility was observed (p < .001), with slower RT on incongruent trials.
• A main effect of Target Frequency was observed (p = .001), with slower RT on trials involving the infrequent target stimulus.
• A main effect of Block was observed (p = .035). Follow-up polynomial contrasts revealed a significant cubic contrast (p = .012), which indicates that alcohol reduced RT increased from B1 to B2, reduced in B3 after the break, and increased again in B4.
• No significant main effect of alcohol was observed on RT (p = .571)

Interactions involving Beverage

Flanker Compatibility
• Neither the Beverage X Flanker Compatibility (p = .392) nor the Beverage X Flanker Compatibility X Block (p = .355) interactions were significant.
• This indicates that alcohol did not exacerbate the behavioral impairment produced by incompatible flankers.

Target Frequency
• A significant Beverage X Target Frequency X Block interaction was observed (p = .013).
• Within beverage group follow-up analysis revealed only significant Target Frequency (p = .001) and trend level cubic Block (p = .066) effects for the non-intoxicated group. In contrast, a significant Target Frequency X Block interaction (p = .002) was observed for the alcohol group.
• Further decomposition demonstrated only the significant cubic Block effect (p = .001) for low frequency stimuli.
• However, for low frequency stimuli, a significant linear Block effect (p = .037) was observed.
• There results suggest that intoxicated participants displayed increasing difficulty over time executing the infrequent response as the strength of the competing frequent response became increasingly stronger due to repeated execution.

Error Related Negativity (ERN)

Error related negativity was analyzed within a repeated measures ANOVA with Beverage as a between subject variable and Accuracy (correct vs. error) as within subject variable. A significant Beverage X Accuracy interaction was observed (p = .003). This indicates that the magnitude of the ERN difference (error vs. correct trials) was reduced among intoxicated participants relative to non-intoxicated participants.

Conclusions

• Alcohol did not produce overall impaired performance. Instead, it selectively interfered with one aspect of task performance. Specifically, it interfered with the ability to execute the relatively weaker response option. As the discrepancy in the response strength of the two response options grew over the course of the experiment due to repeated execution of primarily one response, intoxicated participants displayed increasingly slower responses on trials involving the other, more infrequent target stimulus. No such increase in impairment was apparent among sober participants.
• In contrast to the target frequency interaction, alcohol did not impair (or enhance) individuals ability to selectively attend to target letters and ignore flankers. This suggests the need to examine the unitary nature of the attention construct as it is utilized in current theories about intoxicated behavior and to begin to entertain recent cognitive neuroscience theory which highlights the existence of multiple attentional systems.
• Alcohol significantly reduced ERN, a electrophysiological index of the neural signal for the need for cognitive control. This effect suggests that intoxicated participants may fail to bring online the controlled processing resources necessary to inhibit prepotent response tendencies in favor of more adaptive, contextually appropriate responses. Instead, intoxicated participants appear to be stimulus driven, relying more extensively on strength of stimulus-response association to guide behavior.